



**CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES**

**August 19, 2025
6:00 PM**

Location: City Council Chambers, 408 N. Spokane Street, Post Falls, ID 83854

WORKSHOP – 5:00 pm 2nd Floor Conference Room

- a. Budget: Final Workshop

Roll Call Steigleder, Plew, Malloy, Westlund, Davis - Present
Ziegler tried to call in, but the connection did not work.

Staff went over the final projected revenues, budget overview, City budget history and trends, and the impact of the budget.

REGULAR MEETING – 6:00 pm City Council Chambers

The regular agenda is scheduled to start at 6:00 PM, but may start earlier depending on the completion of any preceding workshop.

CALL TO ORDER BY MAYOR JACOBSON

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL OF CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Samantha Steigleder, Aaron Plew, Joe Malloy, Nathan Ziegler, Randy Westlund, Ryan Davis
Steigleder, Plew, Malloy, Westlund, Davis - Present
Ziegler via internet and phone.

**CEREMONIES, ANNOUNCEMENTS, APPOINTMENTS, PRESENTATION:
ACTION ITEM**

The City of Post Falls will be holding a Public Open House on the Transportation Master Plan, in the City Hall Rotunda, on Thursday, August 28th, from 4 to 7 pm. This open house is to collect public comments on proposed projects needed to address the impacts from growth and setting priorities for the projects needed to provide a safe and efficient transportation system for the next 20 years. Visit the Transportation Master Plan link on the City's website for additional information, postfalls.gov.

City Hall and city business offices will be closed Monday, September 1st, in observance of Labor Day. Police, Fire, and Rescue services for emergencies may be reached by calling 911. The Police Department will remain open for walk-in emergencies. For water-related emergencies, please call 208-773-3517.

AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA

Final action cannot be taken on an item added to the agenda after the start of the meeting unless an emergency is declared that requires action at the meeting. The declaration and justification must be approved by motion of the Council.

Removed the Executive Session from the agenda.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICT, EX-PARTE CONTACTS AND SITE VISITS

The Mayor and members of the City Council have a duty to serve honestly and in the public interest. Where the Mayor or a member of the City Council have a conflict of interest, they may need to disclose the conflict and in certain circumstances, including land use decisions, they cannot participate in the decision-making process. Similarly, ex-parte contacts and site visits in most land use decisions must also be disclosed.

None

1. CONSENT CALENDAR

The consent calendar includes items which require formal Council action, but which are typically routine or not of great controversy. Individual Council members may ask that any specific item be removed from the consent calendar in order that it be discussed in greater detail. Explanatory information is included in the Council agenda packet regarding these items and any contingencies are part of the approval.

ACTION ITEMS:

- a. Minutes – August 5, 2025, City Council Meeting
- b. Payables 08/06/25 - 08/20/25
- c. June Cash and Investments
- d. Apartments 26 Final Plat Application
- e. Tedder Business Condominiums II Final Plat Application

Motion by Malloy to accept the Consent Calendar as presented.

Second by Westlund.

Vote: Plew-Aye, Ziegler-Aye, Malloy-Aye, Davis-Aye, Steigleder-Aye, Westlund-Aye

Motion Carried

Lost connection with Councilor Ziegler.

2. PUBLIC HEARINGS

There are generally two types of public hearings. In a legislative hearing, such as adopting an ordinance amending the zoning code or Comprehensive Plan amendments, the Mayor and City Council may consider any input provided by the public. In quasi-judicial hearings, such as subdivisions, special use permits and zone change requests, the Mayor and City Council must follow procedures similar to those used in court to ensure the fairness of the hearing. Additionally, the Mayor and City Council can only consider testimony that relates to the adopted approval criteria for each matter. Residents or visitors wishing to testify upon an item before the Council must sign up in advance and provide enough information to allow the Clerk to properly record their testimony in the official record of the City Council. Hearing procedures call for submission of information from City staff, then presentation by the applicant (15 min.), followed by public testimony (4 min. each) and finally the applicant's rebuttal testimony (8 min.). Testimony should be addressed to the City Council, only address the relevant approval criteria (in quasi-judicial matters) and not be unduly repetitious.

ACTION ITEMS:

- a. Painted Rock Annexation (ANNX-25-3)

Public Hearing opened at 6:07 PM.

Staff Report Jon Manley, Planning Manager: Applicant is asking City Council to approve the annexation request of approximately 4.67-acres with the Medium Density Residential (R-2) zoning designation in the City of Post Falls. Currently, a large lot single family use over the Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer. Wastewater would be provided by the City of Post Falls. The City has the capacity to provide service and is willing to serve the property at the requested density. Existing septic systems will be required to be abandoned and remaining structures to be connected to the City's Water Reclamation system at the time of development. Water would be provided by the East Greenacres Irrigation District. Midway Ave. is a classified Minor Collector. McGuire Rd. is a Minor Arterial. Additional rights-of-way and easements would need to be provided as part of annexation.

Applicant Ray Kimball, Whipple Consulting Engineers: The zoning is the same as the adjacent properties to the south, fits the future land use map, and complies with the City's transportation and sewer master plans. It is consistent with the West Prairie focus where key policies include promoting infill development, prioritizing annexation opportunities, and supporting development patterns that are interconnected and provide pedestrian connectivity. Of the 200+ properties in the "Central Island", only 19 are of a size that is easily developable, and 3 of those are either churches or mobile home parks. Of the 55 properties between Corbin and McGuire, south of Poleline, only 5 are not easily developable. Based on the comments provided by the agencies, there are no adverse impacts to the delivery of their respective services.

Steigleder: Can you speak more about the single family restriction?

Kimball: We have no issue with the single family restriction. That is what we intend to build. We have no issue with it being added to the development agreement.

Testimony

In Favor

Josh Suhr: Applicant for the next public hearing showing support for this project. These starter homes are very needed in this area.

In Opposition

Debi Vocca: Do not like the development happening around her. Wishes it could stay rural with animals and farms. Streets are very narrow in this area. Streets are very dark in this area. It will effect my property values. The land use map has not been updated in quite awhile. Would encourage R-1.

Justin Stucki: I am unofficially representing the church in the area. We have youth that attend and want to make the area safe for them. I would prefer it being R-1.

Neutral

None

Rebuttal

Kimball: This area is in the City's area of impact. These lots are almost exact to what is across the street in Montross. I have been in my starter home for over 20 years. This development will complete the development of the intersection with crosswalks.

Public Hearing Closed at 6:38 pm.

Is the annexation of the property in the best interest of the city?

Westlund: It is in the best interest of the City. This is a county pocket. The road there does need to expand. I do not think R-1 would be a good fit there because it is half a mile from a commercial corridor and next to the railroad. I love that it is planned for starter homes.

Malloy: This does complete the street improvements and it is not packing in three story apartments.

Steigleder: The biggest thing for this is the street and rights of way. I do not like expanding the footprint of the city coming out of a budget workshop.

Plew: I like idea of this and it should go forward.

Davis: I agree. Right-of-way and area off of septic. I like it.

Is the proposed zoning district consistent with the Future Land Use Map and Focus Area contained in the currently adopted Post Falls Comprehensive Plan?

Malloy: I would say yes. The variety of housing types. It gives people a chance to own and people tend to care about what they own.

Davis: I agree. We do need this product and it is a good fit.

Malloy: It maintains Post Falls transportation network.

Is the proposed zoning district consistent with the goals and policies contained in the currently adopted Post Falls Comprehensive Plan that are relevant to the area under consideration?

Malloy: Copy and paste what i said before into this one.

Steigleder: A risk is the financial solvency of the City.

Westlund: I do not see any financial downside for the City. There is pedestrian connectivity and variety of housing types.

Does the proposed zoning district create a Demonstrable Adverse Impact upon the delivery of services by any political subdivision providing public services within the city?

Westlund: None of them raised any objection.

Motion by Malloy to approve the Painted Rock Annexation ANNX-25-3 and that it be assigned the zoning designation of R-2 with approval pending a development agreement limiting development to single family detached homes only and assuring there is a crosswalk and the road is safe.

Second by Steigleder.

Vote: Malloy-Aye, Davis-Aye, Steigleder-Aye, Westlund-Aye, Plew-Aye

Motion Carried

b. Lingar Annexation (ANNX-24-11)

Public Hearing opened at 6:48 pm.

Staff Report Jon Manley, Planning Manager: Applicant is asking the City Council to approve the annexation request of approximately 4.54-acres for Medium Density Residential (R-2) zoning into the City of Post Falls. Currently a large lot single family over the Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer. Wastewater would be provided by the City of Post Falls. The City has the capacity to provide service and is willing to serve to the property at the requested density. Existing septic systems will be required to be abandoned and remaining structures to be connected to the City's Water Reclamation system at the time of development. Water would be provided by the East Greenacres Irrigation District. Midway Ave is a classified minor collector. Nearby McGuire Rd is a Minor Arterial. Additional rights-of-way and easements would need to be provided as part of annexation.

Applicant Jeramie Terzulli, Olsen Engineering: The project is in alignment with the City's

Comprehensive Plan. Due to its transitional nature and proximity to existing infrastructure, medium-density housing is the most suitable use. This aligns with city policies promoting housing diversity, efficient infrastructure use, and walkable communities. The proposed development is within walking distance of schools, parks, churches, and local amenities, supporting goals related to sustainability and affordability, particularly for first time homebuyers and downsizing seniors. We are trying to prove that the private sector can affordable housing.

Testimony

In Favor

Scott Krajack not wishing to speak.

Josh Suhr: My partners and I are long time locals. We feel very strongly this is the right project for this area. I did a quick MLS listing search and under \$400,00 there are 24 active listing in the area.

Nick Beveridge: I do not think growth is necessarily a bad thing. Most of my clients want to buy but cannot afford it.

Josh Wise: Jobs Plus supports this annexation into Post Falls.

Ray Kimball: Much of development in the area is going towards small lots because the price of the land is so high. Smaller lots keep the price point more affordable.

Chris Briner: I'm Chris Briner, a Realtor and developer with eXp Realty here in Post Falls. I've spent years working with buyers, sellers, and builders in our area, and I have a clear understanding of what our housing market needs. I support annexing the Midway parcel and zoning it R-2. We have a serious shortage of affordable, detached starter homes. This project would bring the type of housing that young families, first-time buyers, and people looking to downsize are actively searching for. R-2 zoning makes sense because it adds more homes while keeping the neighborhood feel intact. From my work in the field, I see every day how badly this kind of inventory is needed. It fits the city's long-term growth goals and will help keep Post Falls a place where people at different stages of life can afford to live.

Shane Zaring: I've worked in this market for many years and have helped guide numerous buyers, sellers, and now agents. With deep roots in the community and a strong understanding of our local housing dynamics, I've seen firsthand the challenges facing both homebuyers and those trying to build for them. I strongly support this request to annex the Midway parcel and R-2 zoning. Our regional market is critically short on smaller, detached starter homes. R-2 zoning is ideal. It allows a smart density without sacrificing neighborhood character, and creates spaces for young families, first-time homebuyers, and downsizing residents who can't find appropriately priced options.

In Opposition

Julie Hensley: There is no close grocery stores to this annexation. It is also creating more of a county pocket in the area.

Neutral

None

Rebuttal

Terzulli: I have kids who do 4H, I like that as well. The Comprehensive Plan calls out focusing on county pockets. The property is a blight. This is a appropriate time to annex.

Steigleder: I wonder if R-1 would be more appropriate.

Terzulli: It is near a high traffic railroad and that would bring a lower price point to the homes.

Public Hearing closed at 8:43 pm.

Is annexation of the property in the best interest of the city?

Davis: It removes a portion of the island.

Westlund: These are local guys who care about the community and build starter homes. This area is aquard being bound by thee railroad and commercial. I would like to see this come in with the same restriction as the other one.

Malloy: I am a little less excited about this one. There is less benefit with not getting full road improvements on Midway. But I do support it.

Plew: It is about the same as the last one and it is starter homes.

Steigleder: I do not see the total benefit with no full road improvements. We have had alot of experts saying this is what is needed but no residents saying this is what is needed. No is my answer.

Mayor: Does Council wish to proceed with annexation of this property?

Davis: Yes

Westlund: Yes

Malloy: Yes

Plew: Yes

Steigleder: No

Is the proposed zoning district consistent with the Future Land Use Map and Focus Area contained in the currently adopted Post Falls Comprehensive Plan?

Davis: Yes, Property next to it is medium density.

Is the proposed zoning district consistent with the goals and policies contained in the currently adopted Post Falls Comprehensive Plan that are relevant to the area under consideration?

Malloy: Diversity of housing types. Ordererly development. Expansion of transportation network. Does the proposed zoning district create a Demonstrable Adverse Impact upon the delivery of services by any political subdivision providing public services within the city?

Westlund: No one commeted.

Motion by Malloy to approve the Lingar Annexation Annx-24-11 contegent upon a development agreement limiting it to single family detached homes.

Second by Steigleder.

Vote: Malloy-Aye, Davis-Aye, Steigleder-Aye, Westlund-Aye, Plew-Aye

Motion Carried

Council took a five minute recess at 7:32 pm

Recess ended at 7:38 pm.

c. FY 2026 Budget and Foregone Hearing

Public Hearing Opened at 7:39 PM.

Councilor Malloy read a statement he prepared: I decided to address misinformation surrounding the FY25 Post Falls budget projections. The issue began with Scot Haug's July 24 Facebook post, was followed by a correspondence with Senator Doug Okuniewicz, and culminated in a public letter from the Senator to the Mayor and City Council. I wanted to thank both Mr. Haug and Senator Okuniewicz for catching a calculation error in state funding projections - but I also note their public claims contain major inaccuracies and damaging insinuations. I am speaking out to protect my integrity, and to ensure tonight's proceedings aren't tainted by falsehoods, and because I have nothing political to gain this year. The Funding Projection Error. Who makes the projections? The Association of Idaho Cities (AIC) provides state revenue disbursement projections for cities. These are usually reliable, though no projection is perfect. Was there a

mistake? Yes, AIC miscalculated, underestimating Post Falls' FY25 state funding by about \$900,000 (2% of the total budget). Exact numbers aren't known until FY25 closes (Sept 30, 2025), but revenues will exceed the faulty projection. Why the 7% Tax Increase Was Approved. The #1 reason was inflation. Post Falls had 13 years without a net tax increase, despite 36.6% cumulative inflation. Even with a 7% increase, the city is still 30% behind inflation. Of that 7%, 3% was one-time only and will drop off in FY26. Comparison with nearby cities (since 2018) Coeur d' Alene - 13.6% ongoing, Hayden - 63.6% (includes a voter approved levy), Rathdrum - 6% ongoing, Post Falls - 7% with only 4% ongoing. State law HB 389 restricts city taxing authority. It limits how much new development can pay for itself, forcing existing taxpayers to subsidize growth. Senator Okuniewicz voted for this bill. Other factors: No more Urban Renewal District closures expected soon. Loss of federal COVID-era funding (ARPA). Need to plan conservatively rather than wait for financial crises. AIC's faulty projections were only one factor in approving the increase. Senator Okuniewicz incorrectly stated the 7% tax increase equals \$1.46M; the correct ongoing amount is lower because his figure wrongly included revenue from new growth. Mr. Haug misstated that the city has an \$800K "surplus", in reality, these are rolled-over funds already earmarked for planned projects. Mr. Haug incorrectly claimed the city budget is \$159M (actual is under \$50M. Mr. Haug claimed an 11% increase expires. True cumulative increase would be 8%, not 11%. Mr. Haug said Post Falls spends more than Coeur d' Alene-false. CdA collects and spends much more per capita than Post Falls. The city uses long-range Master Plans (facilities, water, streets, etc) and rolls unspent funds into the next year to cover planned projects or unexpected costs. This conservative approach has allowed Post Falls to avoid borrowing and interest payments, pay off debt early, and maintain financial stability. Example: \$200K to replace fire-damaged bathrooms at Chase Sports Field was paid from fund balance, not new taxes. Independent audits and state/national financial awards confirm transparency and sound fiscal management. The city's finances are fully transparent: agenda packets and budgets are publicly available. All six Council members who voted for the FY25 budget had been endorsed by the Kootenai County Republican Central Committee-hardly evidence of corruption. Voters must decide whether to trust the city's conservative planning or political attacks filled with factual errors.

Staff Report Warren Wilson, Deputy City Administrator: The proposed FY26 budget reflects a continued conservative approach to budgeting. Despite recommending a budget increase of 3%, in addition to new construction and annexation, it is anticipated that the city portion of the tax bill for an average residence will remain flat or go down slightly. The impact on any given home may differ based on how that home's valuation compares to the valuation of other taxable properties in the city. The city has taken a conservative approach to budgeting, reflected by the fact that the city has a foregone balance of nearly \$3 million dollars. This means that the city's tax-based budget could be nearly \$3 million dollars higher today had the city council, over time, not elected to budget conservatively. Further, since 2009, the city has only increased ongoing new revenue from taxes on existing taxpayers by 4% when cumulative inflation over that same period increased by nearly 45%. Rather, the city has relied on revenue from new growth during that period to provide for the needs of all city residents. This is further demonstrated by the city's current tax rate per thousand dollars of valuation. Today the rate is \$2.39 dollar's per thousand while the rate in 1995 was \$6.76 per thousand. Due to increasing costs, a decreasing levy, and caps on growth-related taxes, the city is facing difficult structural financial challenges where continued reliance on growth dollars alone is not advisable. However, to minimize the impact on taxpayers, the city is proposing a bare-bones FY26 budget that largely caps operating costs at 2025 levels, adds no new employees, and provides for smaller wage increases for existing employees. Additionally, the proposed budget funds a number of the proposed capital requests

for FY26 from fund balances largely created by salary and benefit savings from vacant positions. Despite this, the city is requesting a 3% budget increase that will generate approximately \$490,000 to fund city services. Even with this proposed increase, it is likely that the average house will see a reduction in city taxes due to the decreasing levy rate, the expiration of a one-year-only levy for capital projects, and the impact of property tax relief funds from the state.

Testimony

In Favor

None

In Opposition

Jennifer Johnson: Post Falls has one of the highest if not the highest levy rate in the county. Why would they need more money?

Neutral

Summer Bushnell: It doesn't seem like there was much of an attempt to reduce the budget. Reduce the budget by the forgone percent.

Public Hearing closed at 8:43 pm.

Mayor: The city bases its budget on the most current numbers received.

Plew: The city is ran pretty effenctly. If we do not keep up with the cities needs we will get into a hole and have to pay for things with a bond.

Steigleder: I do not think there is a way unless we do more cuts that we cannot do an increase this year.

Westlund: It looks like we are loosing ground on the budget. This is going to take state level reform to change. There is alot that we do not have control of. There were a bunch of budget documents of budget cuts that we received today that we did not have a change to go over to and would like to push the hearing out so that we have time to go over the documents.

Davis: State reform is absolutely needed. I am blown away by the staff we have and their work. Running this as a business there is going to be years that are leaner. I am comfortable with the budget as presented.

Motion by Malloy to approve the budget as presented.

Second by Davis.

Vote: Davis-Aye, Steigleder-Nay, Westlund-Nay, Plew-Nay, Malloy-Aye

Motion Tabled

Motion by Westlund to continue hearing at our next meeting.

Second by Steigleder.

Vote: Davis-Aye, Steigleder-Aye, Westlund-Aye, Plew-Aye, Malloy-Aye

Motion Carried

Motion by Malloy to have staff submit a forgone resolution where we will not be taking any forgone taxes reserving forgone taxing authority.

Second by Davis.

Vote: Davis-Aye, Steigleder-Aye, Westlund-Aye, Plew-Aye, Malloy-Aye

Motion Carried

d. FY 2026 Fee Hearing

Public Hearing opened at 9:00 pm.

Staff Report Jason Faulkner, Finance Director: Recreation made various updates to their fees based on the cost associated with providing programs. Business licenses will be changed to \$100 for new and \$50 renewal to encourage renewal. Other fees within the fee resolution are based on fee studies performed by consultants, contractual, and industry standards. Water and wastewater increase is proposed to be 1.5% and 2.5%, respectively. Sanitation increase will be 5.2% based on various indexes and the cost of diesel fuel. Impact fees will increase by 1.97%, which is based on the Engineering News Record. If approved staff will present a resolution to adopt the fees for FY26 at the September 2nd, 2025 council meeting. All proposed fees would go into effect on October 1, 2025.

Steigleder: Why do some of the Parks fee have non-residence fees and other do not?

Dave Fair, Parks and Recreation Director: Some of the classes are small volume classes and if we charge non-residence a different fee we would not be able to fill the class.

Testimony

Julie Hensley: Wastewater rate being increased \$71.94 to flush our toilets seems too much.

Mayor: Regulations are making that rate so high.

Malloy: What drove the changes is our permit with the EPA that was the strictest in the country. We had to add all kinds of new equipment to meet the permit level to discharge into the river.

Public Hearing closed at 9:15 pm.

Motion by Malloy to approve the FY26 fees as presented.

Second by Westlund.

Vote: Steigleder-Aye, Westlund-Aye, Plew-Aye, Malloy-Aye, Davis-Aye

Motion Carried

3. UNFINISHED BUSINESS/RETURNING ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS

This section of the agenda is to continue consideration of items that have been previously discussed by the City Council and to formally adopt ordinances and resolutions that were previously approved by the Council. Ordinances and resolutions are formal measures considered by the City Council to implement policy which the Council has considered. Resolutions govern internal matters to establish fees and charges pursuant to existing ordinances. Ordinances are laws which govern general public conduct. Certain procedures must be followed in the adoption of both ordinances and resolutions; state law often establishes those requirements.

ACTION ITEMS:

None

4. NEW BUSINESS

This portion of the agenda is for City Council consideration of items that have not been previously discussed by the Council. Ordinances and Resolutions are generally added to a subsequent agenda for adoption under Unfinished Business, however, the Council may consider adoption of an ordinance or resolution under New Business if timely approval is necessary.

ACTION ITEMS:

- a. Crown Reserve Phase 1 Addendum III to the Construction Improvement Agreement

Chris Schneider, Staff Engineer: Addendum III is for the construction of approximately 425 linear feet of 12-inch diameter sleeving. The purpose of the sleeving is to provide routing for future irrigation mains that would allow irrigation of the Crown Reserve Park with reuse water from the City's land application site. Installation of sleeving at this time would reduce future anticipated costs that would be incurred by cutting roadways to accommodate the future irrigation mains. Total approval would not exceed \$25,549.00 Funding would be from the City's Water Reclamation Capital Fund account.

Motion by Malloy to approve the Crown Reserve Phase 1 Addendum III to the Construction Improvement Agreement.

Second by Davis.

Vote: Westlund-Aye, Plew-Aye, Malloy-Aye, Davis-Aye, Steigleder-Aye

Motion Carried

5. CITIZEN ISSUES

This section of the agenda is reserved for citizens wishing to address the Council regarding City-related issues that are not on the agenda. Persons wishing to speak will have 5 minutes. Comments related to pending public hearings, including decisions that may be appealed to the City Council, are out of order and should be held for the public hearing. Repeated comments regarding the same or similar topics previously addressed are out of order and will not be allowed. Comments regarding performance by city employees are inappropriate at this time and should be directed to the Mayor, either by subsequent appointment or after tonight's meeting, if time permits. In order to ensure adequate public notice, Idaho Law provides that any item, other than emergencies, requiring Council action must be placed on the agenda of an upcoming Council meeting. As such, the City Council can't take action on items raised during citizens issues at the same meeting but may request additional information or that the item be placed on a future agenda.

Angela Thompason spoke about the drug issues that are happening around her house.

6. ADMINISTRATIVE / STAFF REPORTS

This portion of the agenda is for City staff members to provide reports and updates to the Mayor and City Council regarding City business as well as responses to public comments. These items are for information only and no final action will be taken.

None

7. MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMENTS

This section of the agenda is provided to allow the Mayor and City Councilors to make announcements and general comments relevant to City business and to request that items be added to future agendas for discussion. No final action or in-depth discussion of issues will occur.

8. EXECUTIVE SESSION

Certain City-related matters may need to be discussed confidentially subject to applicable legal requirements; the Council may enter executive session to discuss such matters. The motion to enter into executive session must reference the specific statutory section that authorizes the executive session. No final decision or action may be taken in executive session.

ACTION ITEMS:

- a. 74-206 (f) To communicate with legal counsel for the public agency to discuss the legal ramifications of and legal options for pending litigation, or controversies not yet being litigated but imminently likely to be litigated.

Canceled

RETURN TO REGULAR SESSION

ADJOURNMENT

9:26 PM



Ronald G. Jacobson, Mayor



Shannon Howard, City Clerk



Questions concerning items appearing on this Agenda or requests for accommodation of special needs to participate in the meeting should be addressed to the Office of the City Clerk, 408 N. Spokane Street or call 208-773-3511. City Council and City commission meetings are broadcast live on Post Falls City Cable on cable channel 1300 (formerly 97.103) as well as the City's YouTube Channel (<https://www.youtube.com/c/CityofPostFallsIdaho>).

Mayor Ronald G. Jacobson

Councilors: Samantha Steigleder, Aaron Plew, Joe Malloy, Nathan Ziegler, Randy Westlund, Ryan Davis

Mission
Building Community.